IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WA.No. 2820 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.21035/2006 Dated 27/09/2007
.....

APPELLANT: PETITIONERS 1 TO 4 IN THE WPC
----

1. JESSY SCARIA, W/O. P.M.SCARIA,
MADHUSAMCHERIL HOUSE, MEENADOM P.O.,
KOTTAYAM DIST.

2. B.ASHA, W/O. UNNIKRISHNAN,
GOWRI NILAYAM, PAYAPADI P.O., KOTTAYAM.

3. MOLAMMA VARGHESE, W/O. VARGHESE MATHEW,
KIZHAKKEDATH HOUSE, MEENADOM P.O., KOTTAYAM.

4. PREMALATHA O.K., W/O. BHASKARAN K.,
DHARMAPURI HOUSE, EDAYANNUR P.O., KANNUR DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.RAJU JOSEPH

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS IN THE WPC
----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF WOMEN'S WELFARE.

2. KERALA STATE WOMEN'S DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTD.,REPRESENTED BY MANAGING DIRECTOR,
THAZHAVILA BUILDING, UNIVERSITY P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-34.

3. THE REGIONAL MANAGER,
K.S.W.D.C. LTD., KHALIFA BUILDINGS,
S.R.M.ROAD, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV.SRI.N.MANOJ KUMAR, , SC, KSWDB

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.

W.A.No.2820 OF 2007

Dated this the 28th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

Radhakrishnan, J.

Writ appeal is preferred by the petitioners seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondent to retain the petitioners in the service of the respondents in the respective posts they were holding before issuance of Ext.P11 and similar orders. Petitioners also seek a direction to the first respondent to sanction the additional posts requested for by the Board of Directors of the second respondent corporation and fill up those posts with the petitioners. The learned Single Judge did not consider the case of the petitioners and dismissed the writ petition. Impugning the same, this appeal is preferred. Service of other persons like the petitioners was terminated on the strength of the inspection report. In findings No.2 of the said report, it is stated that on verification it was found that large number of employees were appointed in the Corporation without observing any norms. All the appointments were made by appointment order issued by the M.D. It is also stated that stringent disciplinary action should also

be taken against the MD who violated all instructions issued by the Government in this regard. On the basis of the report, the Corporation decided to terminate the service of the petitioners. We find no illegality in the stand taken by the Corporation. Learned Single Judge rightly held so. Writ Appeal is therefore lacks merit and the same is dismissed.

K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
JUDGE

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
JUDGE

sv.